

Towards efficient automation of digital crime investigation using Reinforcement Learning (RL)

Dr Mohamed Chahine GHANEM

My Background

- Engineering Degree, MSc (Dist.) in Digital Forensics and PhD in Cyber Security form City, University of London
- Fellow of Higher Education Academy (FHEA)
- Deputy-Director of the Cyber Security Research Centre (applied-research in the domain of digital forensics, cyber-security, computing and AI).
- Principal Lecturerin Digital Forensics and Cyber-security (BSc course leader)
- DFIR expert (few Certifications such as CISSP, CEH, GCFE, EnCE, ACE, XRY and CPCI) with 10+ years of corporate and law-enforcement experience in digital-crime investigation and offensive cyber-security
- Founding head of Londonmet Digital Forensics Laboratory (RKE to provide Digital forensic investigations services in civil and criminal cases for law firms, businesses and private clients in UK, and Internship form our students)

What is digital forensic?

- Digital Forensics is the use of scientifically derived and proven methods toward:
 - ✓ the preservation, collection, validation, identification, analysis, interpretation, documentation, and presentation of digital evidence derived from digital devices
 - ✓ for the purpose of facilitation or furthering the reconstruction of events found to be criminal, or helping to anticipate unauthorized actions shown to be disruptive to planned operations.

Branches of Digital Forensics

- The technical aspect of an investigation is divided into several sub-branches, relating to the type of digital devices involved:
 - Computer forensics, Firewall Forensics, Database Forensics, Network forensics, Forensic data analysis and Mobile device forensics.
- The typical forensic process encompasses the seizure, forensic imaging and analysis of digital media and the production of a report into collected evidence.

Example of digital devices and contained evidences

✓ e-mails,

- ✓ digital photographs,
- ✓ ATM transaction logs,
- ✓ word processing documents,
- ✓ Instant message histories,
- ✓ files saved from accounting program,
- ✓ spreadsheets,
- ✓ internet browser histories,
- ✓ databases,
- \checkmark the contents of computer memory,
- ✓ computer backups, computer printouts,
- ✓ Global Positioning System tracks,
- \checkmark logs from a hotel's electronic door locks, and
- ✓ digital video or audio files



Digital Evidence

Evidence

A piece of information that supports a conclusion

Digital evidence

Any data that is **recorded** or **preserved** on any medium in or by a **computer system** or other **similar digital device**, that can be **read or understood** by a person or a computer system or other similar device.

It includes a **display**, **printout** or **other output** of that data.

Digital Forensic Process

✓ Identification

✓ Preservation

✓ Analysis

✓ Documentation

Information Evidences Media Data Collection Examination Analysis **Obtained Results** Identify Write report Isolate the area Identify (people and places) Attach evidence and Collect the evidence Extract Ensuring integrity Filter Correlate other documents Identify equipment Document (people and locations) Generate Hash Pack evidence Scene reconstruction Labeling evidence (incident) Chain of Custody Documentation

✓ Presentation

Skills required for Digital Forensics

- ✓ Application of Programming or computer-related experience
- ✓ Broad understanding of operating systems and applications
- ✓ Strong analytical skills
- ✓ Strong computer science fundamentals
- ✓ Strong system administrative skills
- ✓ Knowledge of the latest intruder tools
- ✓ Knowledge of cryptography and steganography
- Strong understanding of the rules of evidence and evidence handling
- ✓ Ability to be an expert witness in a court of law

Types of Digital Evidence

Persistant data

Meaning data that **remains intact** when the digital device is turned off. E.g. hard drives, disk drives and removable storage devices (such as USB drives or flash drives).

Volatile data

Which is data that **would be lost** if the digital device is turned off. E.g. deleted files, computer history, the computers registry, temporary files and web browsing history.

Challenges in DFIR

- Explosion of complexity: evidence is no longer confined within a single host but, rather, is scattered among different physical or virtual locations.
- > **Development of standards:** No standard formats, schema, and ontologies
- Privacy-preserving investigations: people bring into cyberspace many aspects of their lives, primarily through online social networks or social media sites. other hurdles when cloud computing is involved.
- Legitimacy: modern infrastructures are becoming complex and virtualized, often shifting their complexity at the border or delegating some duties to third parties
- Rise of Anti-forensics techniques: defensive measures encompass encryption, obfuscation, and cloaking techniques, including information hiding.

Limits of Current Human-led DFIR practice



The four Vs challenges in DFIR

The four main challenges that big data bought into Digital Forensics are:

Volume is often used to reference the amount of data collected from an individual or multiple seized devices.

Variety to reference the different types of files or data present within the medium (for example this could be allocated data from known file systems and unallocated data from volume and file slack spaces).Velocity is concerned with the amount of time needed to process and

analyse the acquired data and indeed the time often needed to acquire the data initially.

Value of the data. this is not the resale value, but the value of the actual intelligence collected when the data is processed correctly.

Artificial Intelligence in DFIR

Al-led digital forensics would allow:

- Tracing the evidence in a more enhanced and streamlined fashion to conduct an in-depth investigation
- Identify critical forensic evidence and renders it to further analysis objectively and reproducible.
- Cover more ground (search and identification) of important trends from large volumes of data followed by visualization of the results
- Report investigations results to reveal trends and patterns that were previously unknown

Artificial Intelligence

Al is "the theory and development of computer systems able to perform tasks normally requiring human intelligence, such as visual perception, speech recognition, decision-making, and translation between languages".



Al would be invaluable in identifying crime as it has been observed that an algorithms based would be more effective in determining the existence of criminal or illegal activity.

Why RL for DFIR – Sequential Decision Process

- RL Agent Mimic Human Expert; assigned what to do but not how to do it.
- RL Agent Determine ideal/best behaviour; decisions-making sequences to achieve target.
 "Markov Chain: What happens next depends only on the state of affairs now."
- Repetitive tasks with same/different input and parameters
- No Human expert intervention during learning process (Reward/punishment feedback).
- RL reflect Action-Effect-Reward characteristic which fully represent DFIR.
- Less time for learning and efficient in sequential decision-making problems if well represented.
- RL allow a the Explainability



0.7

| | Markov | | Do we have control over the state transitions? | | | | | |
|--|---|-----|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Models | | NO | YES | | | | |
| | Are the states completely observable? | YES | Markov Chain | MDP Markov Decision Process | | | | |
| | | NO | HĂM Hidden Markov Model | POMDP Partially Observable Markov Decision Process | | | | |

Reinforcement Learning contribution to DFIR

- 1. DFIR is rapidly **evolving** and very **complex** environment, therefore representing all these information as MDP is challenging
- 2. Working with a **Reward Function** to act as feedback provider for the system is a tricky sub-problem (relying on human reward is not practical and **unsafe**)
- 3. The Uncertainty in some of the tasks' outcome (POMDP is not an option)
- **4. Capturing** the Expertise is relative, and the Learning time required for the system to reach **maturity** is uncertain
- **5. Scaling-up** and reduce size of MDP environment to allow Solving algorithms performing better.

RL-led DFIR

Explainability: relates to the idea of connecting a machine's decision-making process with human explanations that are both accurate and understandable

Interpretability: is the ability to communicate an explanation or meaning in a way that is comprehensible.

Understandability: or intelligibility, refers to the features of a model that allow it to be self-explanatory in terms of its operational functionality without the need to describe its internal structure or the underlying algorithms used to process data

Transparency: Algorithmic transparency, simulatability, decomposability, and transparency are all characteristics that a transparent model should posses

Comprehensibility: is often quantified in terms of the model's complexity, which includes the model's ability to describe its learning process in a comprehensible manner

Markov Decision Process (MDP)

A Markov Decision Process is composed of the following building blocks:

•**State space S** — The state contains all possible states whether physical, information or belief attributes, and which the RL agent(s) could face.

•Action space A — The set containing all (feasible) actions. For state-dependent decisions a(s), it may be necessary to subject the action space to a set of constraints, e.g., using mathematical programming.

•**Reward function R** — Denoting the direct reward when taking action a in state s.

•**Transition function T** — The function governing the dynamics of the system over time, guiding the agent from state s to s'. The transition typically involves both a deterministic component (the action a) and a stochastic one (exogenous information ω).

•**Discount factor** γ **—** Defines the degree to which future rewards impact current decisions. When the problem is infinite-horizon and relies on a cumulative reward objective function, a discount rate γ <1 is necessary to ensure convergence.



Research Choices





Evaluation Metrics:

- Consumed time (Criteria 1) Cost (efficiency)
- Covered Artefacts (Criteria 2)- result reliability

(effectiveness)

Success rate - Subjective

Solving the MDP



RL representation of Incident Response – Malware



Windows Registry (our case study)

registry is a "central hierarchal database" intended to store information that is necessary to configure the system for one or more users, applications, and hardware devices.

- Goldmine for digital forensics.
- Registry Breakdown
- Hives (binary database files)
- Keys & Subkeys (analogous to a folders)
- Values (analogous to a file)
- Type (strings, binary or DWORD)

| mputer\HKEY_CURRENT_USER\AppEvents\EventLabels | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|--------|-----------------|----------------|--|---|------|--------|--|
| Computer | Name | Type | Data | | | | | | |
| > HKEY_CLASSES_ROOT | (Default) | REG_SZ | (value not set) | | | | | | |
| HKEY_CURRENT_USER | | | | | | | | | |
| AppEvents | | | | | | | | | |
| > EventLabels | | | | | | | | | |
| > Schemes | | | | | | | | | |
| > Console | | | | | | | | | |
| > Control Panel | | | | | | | | | |
| Environment | | | | | | | | | |
| > EUDC | | | | | | | | | |
| > Keyboard Layout | | | | | | | | | |
| > Microsoft | | | | | | | | | |
| - Network | | | | | | | | | |
| > Printers | | | | | | | | | |
| > Contrare | | | | | | | | | |
| > System | | | | | | | | | |
| - Uninstall | | | | | | | | | |
| > Volatile Environment | | | | | | | | | |
| HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE | | | | | | | | | |
| > BCD0000000 | | | | | | | | | |
| > HARDWARE | | | | | | | | | |
| V SAM | | | | | | | | | |
| SAM | | | | | | | | | |
| SECURITY | | | | | | | | | |
| > SOFTWARE | | | | | | | | | |
| > SYSTEM | | | | | | | | | |
| HKEY USERS | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| S-1-5-18 | | | | | | | | | |
| S-1-5-19 | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.1.5.20 | | | | | | | | | |
| S-1-5-21-387312745-2307250535-1819200019-1001 | | | | | | | | | |
| > = 5-1-5-21-30/312/45-239/230355-1019300019-1001 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Software | | | | | | | | | |
| Fonts | | | | | | | | | |
| System | | | | | | | | | |
| > CurrentControlSet | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | 11 | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | | | ••• | | - | | 0 1 km | |

Data

Windows Registry Forensics workflow

×

Normalization

Normalized FileHistory Table Who-PC Who-User When When-Source How Where How

| Hile Edit Report | View Window Help | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|--------------|--|--|
| 🗁 🧾 🖳 💷 📂 🖻 | | | - | | | | | |
| Secu | rity | Name | Туре | Data | | | | |
| Servi | ces | url1 | REG_SZ | http://209.114.12 | 26.119/questansw/quesindex | | | |
| - 🦳 Settir | ngs | url2 | REG_SZ | http://samsclass | s.info/ | | | |
| - 🛅 Setur | p | eth url3 | REG_SZ | http://ccst.edu/ | 100 | | | |
| 🔁 SQM | | ab url4 | REG_SZ | http://kittenwar | .com/ | | | |
| 🧰 Sugg | ested Sites | Url5 | REG_SZ | http://www.mal | wareanalysisbook.com/ad.html | | | |
| 🕀 🧰 Tabb | edBrowsing | url6 | REG_SZ | http://practicalr | nalwareanalysis.com/ad.html | | | |
| De Cal Tool | url7 | KEG_SZ http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/aso/tryit/brain | | | | | | |
| 🗟 Туре | dURLs | an url8 | REG_SZ | http://www.pbs | .org/wgbh/aso/tryit/brain/prob | e.html | | |
| URLS | earchHooks | enu en | REG_SZ | http://www.mic | rosoft.com/visualstudio/eng/de | ownl | | |
| - User | Preferences | url10 | REG_SZ | http://111.net/ | | | | |
| Zoon | n | | REG_SZ | http://192.108.1 | 19.104/ | | | |
| Java VIVI | - | | url12 REG_SZ http://168.62.197.121/certsrv | | | | | |
| III | • | | NEO_32 | nttps://100.02.13 | 7.121/CEISIV | | | |
| | | 40 2F 00 | 71 00 75 00 65 00 | 0-73 00 69 00 6E | 00 64 00 / ·q·u·e·s·i·n | - d - | | |
| | | 1 50 65 00 | 78 00 00 00 | | e ·x · · · | - | | |
| TUSER.DAT\Software\Mic | Preparing Inputs | 150 65 00 | Pre-processi | ing | Getting Raw Results | | | |
| TUSER.DAT\Software\Mic | Preparing Inputs Forensic Image | 50 65 00 | 78 00 00 00 Pre-processi plaso (with developed pl | ugins) | Getting Raw Results | | | |
| TUSER.DAT\Software\Mic | Preparing Inputs Forensic Image File Formats | | 78 00 00 00 Pre-processi plaso (with developed pl Catalog.edb | ugins) | Getting Raw Results | | | |
| TUSER.DAT\Software\Mic | Preparing Inputs Forensic Image File Formats (DD, EWF, VHD) | 1 50 65 00 | 78 00 00 00 Pre-processi plaso (with developed pl Catalog.edb Config.xml | ugins) | Getting Raw Results | | | |
| TUSER.DAT\Software\Mic | Preparing Inputs Forensic Image File Formats (DD, EWF, VHD) Catalog.edb | | 78 00 00 00 Pre-processi plaso (with developed pl Catalog.edb Config.xml Restore.log | ugins) | Getting Raw Results | | | |
| TUSER.DAT\Software\Mic | Preparing Inputs Forensic Image File Formats (DD, EWF, VHD) Catalog.edb Config.xml | Input | 78 00 00 00 Pre-processi plaso (with developed pl Catalog.edb Config.xml Restore.log SYSTEM | ugins) | Getting Raw Results | In | | |
| TUSER.DAT\Software\Mic | Preparing Inputs Forensic Image File Formats (DD, EWF, VHD) Catalog.edb Config.xml Restore.log | Input | 78 00 00 00 Pre-processi plaso (with developed pl Catalog.edb Config.xml Restore.log SYSTEM | ing ugins) Raw Raw Results | e-x Getting Raw Results plaso storage psort log2timeline table FileHistory-Configuration | In Filte | | |
| TUSER.DAT\Software\Mic | Preparing Inputs Forensic Image File Formats (DD, EWF, VHD) Catalog.edb Config.xml Restore.log SYSTEM | Input Files | 78 00 00 00 Pre-processi plaso (with developed pl Catalog.edb Config.xml Restore.log SYSTEM SOFTWARE | ing ugins) Raw Results | e-x Getting Raw Results plaso storage psort log2timeline table FileHistory-Configuration FileHistory-Backup Folder | In Filte | | |
| TUSER.DAT\Software\Mic | Preparing Inputs Forensic Image File Formats (DD, EWF, VHD) Catalog.edb Config.xml Restore.log SYSTEM SOFTWARE | Input Files | 78 00 00 00 Pre-processi plaso (with developed pl Catalog.edb Config.xml Restore.log SYSTEM SOFTWARE NTUSER | ing ugins) Raw Results | e-x Getting Raw Results plaso storage psort psort log2timeline table FileHistory-Configuration FileHistory-Backup Folder FileHistory-RestoreLog | In: Filte | | |
| TUSER.DAT\Software\Mic | Preparing Inputs Forensic Image File Formats (DD, EWF, VHD) Catalog.edb Config.xml Restore.log SYSTEM SOFTWARE NTUSER MBD | Input Files | 78 00 00 00 Pre-processi plaso (with developed pl Catalog.edb Config.xml Restore.log SYSTEM SOFTWARE NTUSER MBP | ing ugins) Raw Results | e-x Getting Raw Results plaso storage psort log2timeline table FileHistory-Configuration FileHistory-RestoreLog FileHistory-Backup FileHisto | Int Filte | | |
| TUSER.DAT\Software\Mic | Preparing Inputs Forensic Image File Formats (DD, EWF, VHD) Catalog.edb Config.xml Restore.log SYSTEM SOFTWARE NTUSER MBR GPT Entry | Input Files | 78 00 00 00 Pre-processi plaso (with developed pl Catalog.edb Config.xml Restore.log SYSTEM SOFTWARE NTUSER MBR | ing ugins) Raw Results | e-x Getting Raw Results plaso storage psort log2timeline table FileHistory-Configuration FileHistory-Backup Folder FileHistory-Backup FileHis | Ini Filte | | |



Markov Decision Process for Registry Forensics



RL representation of Windows Registry Forensics

| 1 | | | | 39 | |
|----------|--|------------|--|----|---------------------------|
| 2 | ###################################### | ########## | *************************************** | 40 | actions: |
| 3 | valuest reward | | | 42 | |
| 4 | vatues: reward | | | 43 | import_REG |
| 6 | discount : 0.95 | | | 44 | extract_HIVE |
| 7 | | | | 45 | parse_SAM |
| 8 | | | | 46 | examine_SAM_SID |
| 9 | | | | 47 | examine_SAM_Account |
| 10 | # NUMBER States 1034 Actions 43 | | | 48 | parse_sistem |
| 11 | ###################################### | ########## | ****** | 50 | examine svs Profil list |
| 13 | states. | | | 51 | examine_sys_Current |
| 14 | States | | | 52 | examine_config_files |
| 15 | Evidence-reg | | | 53 | examine_sys_Coltrole |
| 16 | | | | 54 | examine_TimeZone |
| 17 | HKEY_CLASSES_ROOT | | | 55 | examine_limestamp |
| 18 | HKEY_CURRENI_USER | 49 | SAM-SID-Unknown | 57 | examine Share |
| 79 19 | HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE | EO | | 58 | parse SOFTWARE |
| 21 | HKEY_CURRENT-CONFIG | 50 | SAM-SID-SUU # KUUT | 59 | examine_Version |
| 22 | | 51 | SAM-SID-501 # Guest | 60 | examine_NetworkHistory |
| 23 | LOCAL-MACHINE-SAM | 52 | SAM-STD-1000 = # Hspr 1 | 61 | examine_SSIDHistory |
| 24 | local-machine-security | 52 | | 62 | examine_gatwayMAC |
| 25 | | 53 | SAM-SID-1001 # User 3 | 63 | collate AutoStart |
| 20 | LOCAL-MACHINE-SOFTWARE | 54 | SAM-STD-1002 # User 3 | 65 | examine Run |
| 28 | | | | 66 | examine_Run_Once |
| 29 | USER-DEFAULT-SAM | 55 | SAM-Last-Login-Blank | 67 | examine_Start_Services |
| 30 | USER-DEFAULT-SECURITY | 56 | SAM-Last-LogIn-DT | 68 | parse_NTUSERDAT |
| 31 | USER-DEFAULT-SYSTEM | 57 | SAM-Dassword-Ealso #DEC BINARY Binary Data | 69 | examine_SearchHistory |
| 32 | USER-DEFAULT-SUFTWARE | 51 | | 70 | examine RecentDoc |
| 34 | USER-2-SAM | 58 | SAM-Password-True #REG_BINARY Binary Data | 72 | examine FileMRU |
| 35 | USER-2-SECURITY | 59 | SAM-Password-Change-Blank | 73 | examine_API_openMRU |
| 36 | USER-2-SYSTEM | 60 | SAM Deservered Change DT | 74 | examine_API_visitMRU |
| 37 | USER-2-SOFTWARE | 60 | SAM-Password-Change-Di | 75 | examine_RunMRU |
| 38 | | 61 | SAM-Last-FailedLogIn-Blank | 76 | examine_UserAssist |
| 40 | | 62 | SAM-Last-FailedLogIn-DT | 78 | parse RegRipper |
| 41 | USER-3-SYSTEM | 02 | | 79 | examine_RegRipper_Plugins |
| 42 | USER-3-SOFTWARE | 63 | SAM-NetworkEnabled-False #REG_BINARY Binary Da | 80 | collate_USB |
| 43 | USER- <mark>3</mark> -NTUSER-dat | 64 | SAM-NetworkEnabled-True #REG BINARY Binary Da | 81 | examine_USB_SerialNmbr |
| | | | | 82 | examine_USB_PartMgr |
| | | | | 83 | examine_USB_Mountpoint |

84 extract_ShellBag 85

examine_ShellBag

SYMBOLIC LINK

REG_QWORD 64-bit Number

38

Results: Solving Time with SD (standard deviation) for different size MDP problems



Results: Number of relevant Artefacts for different size MDP problems



RL contribution to Registry Forensics

- Provides investigators with an "intelligent assistant" that allows cutting in cost (time is money) and avoid delays.

- Makes the practice accessible to non-expert users allowing them to process sensitive forensic information with only minimal technical knowledge

- Allows learning and expertise capturing and re-use (future similar cases)
- Minimises risks and mistakes (miscarriage of justice) due to human Digital examiners fatigue or misjudgement.

Conclusion and Future works

- **1. RL works** (everyone knows that), it **works well** in DFIR context despite some challenges.
- 2. The **Proposed Model of Registry Forensics** as MDP is fits to purpose (can be extended to further applications)
- 3. Performance **enhancement** is clear **But** we might need to introduction a Hierarchical MDP model
- 4. Exploration capabilities of the MDP model were beyond expectation and exceed Human expert.

Thank You Any questions ?