Testing AI and Smart Systems: The challenges of Self adaption

Dr Carl Adams,

Mobi Publishing Ltd., Chichester, UK

Email: ca.mobipublishing@gmail.com

BCS Software Testing SIG June 2023



Plan: Testing AI & Self *

- 7 principles of testing
- Background:
 - Decision Support Systems (DSS), Expert Systems
 (ES), Management Support Systems (MSS)
- Al, algorithms...
- High-level and low-level AI; Testing AI tools
 - Autonomics , Self* systems
 - DNA Computing
- Challenges of Self adaption

7 principles of testing

- 1. Testing shows the presence of bugs
- 2. Exhaustive testing is impossible
- 3. Early testing ... sooner the better
- 4. Defect clustering Pareto Principle 80:20 rule
- 5. The pesticide paradox ... systems evolve, so too should the testing data and cases
- 6. Testing is context dependent
- 7. Absence of errors fallacy ... if you don't find any, doesn't mean there aren't any!

(see for instance https://www.testingexcellence.com/seven-principles-of-software-testing/)

Plus other testing principles ...

- And standards, eg. ISTQB/BCS; ISO/IEC/IEEE 29119 Software Testing an internationally agreed set of standards
- But these are all stretched when it comes to Al testing

Some 'other' principles of testing

- 1. Usually done last (.... Last in thinking about; last in the budget; last in the activity ...)
- 2. Usually seen as the unattractive part of development (just a must have element) ... Not as sexy as analysis, design or coding
- 3. Time, money and competition usually has an impact on *acceptable* testing
- 4. There is much innovation in other aspects of software and system compared to innovations in testing
- 5. The 'best' people often aren't interested in testing (they want the design and coding etc)
- 6. Users often don't know what they want so difficult for acceptance testing
- 7. Separation of roles doesn't always happen (developers and testers)
- & Probably a few other principles will emerge with AI testing

Testing AISome background

- Decision Support Systems(DSS), Expert Systems (ES), Management Support Systems (MSS)
 - -1980s +
 - Developed using teams of experts
 - Experts involved in testing
 - Challenges of getting experts to agree!
 - Usually a rule-based approach
 - Aim ... getting the 'right' or a good decision
 - Also getting justification for decisions

- Decision Support Systems(DSS), Expert Systems (ES), Management Support Systems (MSS)
 - Aims ...were towards getting the 'right' or a good decisions
 - But the system aims changed/evolved
 - Also changed from decision support to decision making (e.g. getting a loan from a bank) ... for consistency etc, and towards Justifying decisions
 - But (still) have to be wary of technology amelioration (e.g. mortgage calculations from banks)

- Al algorithms, Neural nets, Simulated annealing [Lots of 'testing' done]
 - Focus on 'Trying to get the best algorithm'
 - Lots of datasets
 - Training sets, particularly for Neural nets
 - ½ data for training ½ for actual applying
 - Looking for new patterns
 - E.g. classifying cork (found extra classification)
 - Simulated annealing ... coming up with a 'good' solution, not (necessarily) optimal solution

- Al algorithms, Neural nets, Simulated annealing
 - Aims of tests/testing? [Lots of exploring, looking for something new]
 - Coming up with 'good' solution or better solutions than before
 - Coming up with something new [New = outside human created space; perhaps outside human comprehension]
 - Can we test for the 'best' solution or for outside of remit/scope?

- High level and low level AI
 - Low level Al autonomics
 - Complex decisions, speed, self-control (e.g. Global network routers)
 - Self-management; local optimising & collaborating
 - High level Al autonomics
 - More Self* systems
 - More strategy; longer term
 - AC (Artificial Consciousness), self-aware

Al autonomics

Lots of Self* systems [Self correcting, Self healing,
 Self modifying, perhaps Self-aware ...]

- Moving outside human creation space and comprehension space; and dynamic
- ... a significant challenge in testing & scope of testing increases (.... known-unknowns, unknownunknowns)

- Al testing at high level and low level
 - What are the aims and scope of the testing?
 - Not always explicit; some of the aims and hopes
 of Al are fuzzy ... so too are the testing aims

- Are they clear, and will they change/evolve (as per the examples in DSS / ES)?
 - Expect some function creep
 - Consider the Congestion Charging around London and automatic number plate recognition...

- Al Autonomics
 - Working on its own
- How to test?
 - Testing working scope
 - Testing unexpected example of dealing with uncertainty
 - What is a successful test outcome?
 - Finding bugs, meeting functions, not doing things outside of remit ...

AI Deep learning

- Going 'deep' ...looking beyond human 'vision' and understanding
- Consider DeepMind's Alpha Go success
- In March 2016, it beat <u>Lee Sedol</u> in <u>a five-game match</u>, the first time a computer Go program has beaten a <u>9th-dan</u> 4 to 1.... And the inspirational move 37
- DeepMind created by Demis Hassabis a child prodigy, chess master at 13, computer scientist and neuroscientist, a serious bright person – who is going to fully test his work?

Again, many issues on how to test?

- Scope and What is a successful test outcome?
- And who can 'test' beyond human understanding

Testing AI – lots of variety!

- AI (Artificial Intelligence) ...
 - Decision Support Systems (DSS), Expert Systems
 (ES), Management Support Systems (MSS)
 - Al algorithms, Simulated annealing
 - High level and low level AI
 - Autonomics
 - Deep learning
 - Self* systems
 - AC (Artificial Consciousness), self-aware
- So likely multiple issues and aims in testing

Testing AI – big challenges!

- AC (Artificial Consciousness), self-aware
 - Turing testhttps://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Turing test
 - Behaviour of intelligence

- Self * (self aware, self healing, self correcting ...)
- Autonomous/Autonomic systems (e.g. a Mars rover/explorer – a scenario approach)

- AC, AI, Self-aware
 - How to test and what will be the aims of the test?
- Can follow
 - Rule approach, heuristics approach (e.g. highway code approach)
 - An ethics approach (e.g. as per police in the ACPO guidelines ... https://www.npcc.police.uk/)
- Self * ... doing more that humans do, so follow human/society bases for testing and conforming
 - Will we have rights and responsibilities for 'robots'/AI;
 criminal robots; Prisons for robots?)

Testing AI – Some tools

Current research projects

- Affordances Mapping
 - Metadata on attributes; in a LOM format

- Bounds of Applicability
 - addressing the gaps of 'exception testing', allows reuse of AI items

Current research projects ...

Scenario modeling / testing - TSEA toolset

- Keeping the expert in the loop
 - Intelligent content creation; e.g. Al e+Cookbook
 - Workflows and content creation case studies for Al testing workshop (AI-BCS SiG 2023 conference)

Testing AI & Auditing

- Need to audit testing and providence
 - A Metadata approach

- Using LOM Learning Object <u>Metadata</u> standard
 - IEEE 1484.12.1-2020 standard
 - New AI-LOM system

Testing AI & Auditing

- Need to audit testing and providence
 - A Metadata approach
 - Using LOM Learning Object Metadata standard
 - Affordances Mapping
 - Bounds of Applicability
 - (Temporal) Scenario modeling / testing
 - Supports reuse, recognising IP, passing across testing/providence

Testing AI – further dimensions

Consider Self-aware Al....

- what will be the aims of the test?
 - Proving consciousness
 - Proving the application works (doing as good as/better than/cheaper than humans?)
- What should be the scope of testing?
 - Just the application or wider societal issues
- Is also relevant to other areas of science / computing

Testing AI – further dimensions

Need more resources to support AI testing

 Open call:- Looking for contributions to a book; contributed chapters on approaches, models, case studies on AI testing

 Interested send email to <u>ca.mobipublishing@gmail.com</u> with AI testing Book in header

Testing AI Self * ... similar to DNA comp

 DNA Computing = lots of Self *, including Self assembly

Many similar testing issues to Al

(Particularly challenges of Self adaption)

Some background ...

A land mark paper and works

 LM Adleman (1994) Molecular computation of solutions to combinatorial problems. Science. Vol. 266 no. 5187 pp. 1021-1024. ...you can do Computing stuff with DNA!

And work by Eric Drexler

 (1993 Nanosystem: Molecular machinery, manufacturing and computation. Wiley publishing) ...also known for the 'nano grey goo'

Building on previous land mark works

- 1953 Watson and Francis Crick's paper in *Nature*.[http://www.nature.com/nature/dna50/archive.html]
- the structure of the DNA-helix (Deoxyribonucleic acid)
 ... the hereditary material present in the cells of all
 living organisms; the blueprint or code instructions of
 living organisms (self assembly instructions)

And

 1959 famous lecture by physicist Richard Feynman, 'There's Plenty of Room at the Bottom', [http://www.pa.msu.edu/~yang/RFeynman_plentySpace.pdf] ... The opportunity of nano

Started to see the birth or emergence of DNA computing

- Computing at the nano level
- Different models of 'development' and design (more self assembly; more simulation; more science ...), and of course testing

- But DNA/Nana stuff coming from many disciplines ...
- Physics, biology, mathematics, engineering, material sciences, epigenetics (and other sub fields), And computing

[all with very different thinking for testing!]

- Nano scale devices (and thinking)
 - very small, a few nanometers to a few hundred nanometers (nm),
 - the scale of just a collection of atoms and molecules.
- Nanotechnologies (nano-materials, nano-devices and nanomachines)
- Wet and Dry options
 - With different thinking on testing

 (My) Earlier thinking / experience ... self modifying code (1980's)

- My own paper/work
 - ... trying to make it simple
 - Considering testing issues, ethical issues, innovation issues

Al and DNA Computing testing

Challenges?

- We don't know all the challenges yet
- My own paper/work ... throws up more questions than answers
 - Seem to be at a schism (division or disunion, especially into mutually opposed parties) between fields

AI & DNA Computing testing

 We need a different mindset for development and for testing, both in AI and in DNA Computing

Some emergent themes

- Lots of simulation and theoretical
- Lots of self organising (for development and testing)
- Need off 'buttons', reset 'buttons'
 - Consider Blowflies and corpses
 https://bekkabrodie.com/2014/10/29/the-beginningof-the-end-how-blow-flies-find-corpses/
 - There seems to be 'off' switches in living things

Al and DNA Computing testing

 We need a different mindset for development and for testing

- Need to design for Self * testing
- Need to design for testing functionality beyond human comprehension (at least in the short term)
- Need the best people involved!

Testing AI – further dimensions

Need more resources to support AI testing

 Open call:- Looking for contributions to a book, contributed chapters approaches, models, case studies on AI testing

 Interested send email to <u>ca.mobipublishing@gmail.com</u> with AI testing Book in header

Any questions