



Chartered IT Professional status (Standard V3.0)

Initial Review Assessment Report v0 4

Use the evidence provided to determine whether there is an indication that the applicant is working at [SFIA](#) level 5 and has a broad knowledge of IT

| | | | |
|----------------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Applicant full name: | ██████████ | Applicant ref number: | ██████████ |
|----------------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|

| Initial Review Assessment | |
|---|-------|
| Autonomy: Does the evidence meet the expectation that work is often self-initiated as defined by A1, A2, and A3 of the standard? | Y/N N |

Please provide specific examples:

████ states in the description of his role that he performs tasks based on email requests and has some location autonomy to perform his work remotely. While █████ shows in his application working under broad direction and meeting objectives the measure not achieved is "establishes milestones and has a significant role in assignment of tasks and/or responsibilities".



Influence: Does the evidence demonstrate the leadership ability to achieve successful business benefit as defined by B1, B2, B3, and B4 of the standard?

Y/N N

Please provide specific examples:

█ shows elements of B1 to B3 but I cannot see evidence in the application for "significant influence over the allocation and management of resources". █ appears to respond to tasks with pre-determined resources allocated and while providing training and mentoring to another engineer I don't feel this is sufficient to meet the standard.

Complexity: Does the evidence demonstrate the ability to succeed in roles that are multifaceted as defined by C1, C2, and C3 of the standard?

Y/N N

Please provide specific examples:

█ has a very focused role within a subset of networking technology. I did not see how █ held responsibility for the complex integration between networking technology and other information technology to help me assess the complexity of deployments he performs. █ role appears to be deployment of pre-determined technology elements to meet a network requirement determined by someone else. For C1TP status I'd expect █ personally to be performing some degree of leadership in translating client requirements into a network solution.

Business Skills: Does the evidence demonstrate vision and appreciation of the overall context to achieve benefit from exploiting IT as defined in D1, D2, D3, D4, D5, D6, D7 and D8?

Y/N N

Please provide specific examples:

I saw limited or no evidence of D4, D5 or D7. For the other measures some evidence was available but many of these are working below C1TP levels in SFIA.

Assessment of IT Breadth

(Complete this section for applicants who do not hold an Accredited Degree (Refer to the SDNA))

Following the BOK assessor guidance (at Appendix 5 of the Chartered Assessment Guidance Notes) carry out a peer assessment of the applicant's IT breadth. Evidence should demonstrate the applicant's awareness and understanding of how their area of practise interacts, supports, relies upon and communicates with other areas of IT, as well as their knowledge of the fundamental principles of IT; eg. Methods, techniques and tools in addition to awareness of Legal, Social and Ethical factors affecting IT.

For full guidance on how to assess the applicant's IT breadth be sure to refer to the Assessor Guidance Notes.

█ in this section shows a deep technical understanding of networking technologies. He does not show the broader skills I'd expect for CITP and there is no evidence of an understanding of technology outside the network OSI layers.

Summary of Assessment

█ needs more responsibilities. He has shown in his application that he is a very competent networking engineer who can take equipment, learn how it needs to be configured and configure that equipment to build a solution that works for a client. For CITP I'd expect █ to have more independence in designing solutions and less focus on configuring equipment. It feels like █ needs at least one promotion with more experience within his business before he would meet more of the CITP requirements.

Outcome

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Successful Proceed to PRI <input type="checkbox"/> | *Further written evidence needed <input type="checkbox"/> | *Further info required via telephone <input type="checkbox"/> | *Unsuccessful <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|--|--|--|--|

Telephone discussion :

With applicant:

with supporter

(Enter name of supporter/s):

*Please detail below specifically what needs to be communicated to the applicant as **your comments will be forwarded directly** to them:

-
-
-

Recommendations

Engineering Council

Science Council

Fellowship

Karen Burt Award Referral (female applicants)

Assessor Details

| | |
|---|-------------------------|
| Assessor full name: [REDACTED] | Date: 05/02/2017 |
| Assessor membership number: [REDACTED] | |
| Assessor BCS/EC/SC grade: MBCS CITP | |

The British Computer Society (Registered Charity no. 292786)