This copy of FACS-FACTS should be with you before the winter solstice celebrations begin. Whilst we have you in the festive and hopefully generous spirit, there is a reminder to renew your membership. You should also be receiving forms to complete from John Cook.

To provide you food for thought there are pre and post Christmas events, the two user meetings and our own meeting on domain theory are appetizing aperitifs. Those of you wanting some healthy exercise after the Christmas and New Year celebrations may want to come along to our refinement workshop.

We are grateful to Eliktronik Brane for their contribution of The ACME SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING RESEARCH final exam paper for our F.X. REID item.

Happy winter solstice.

Jawed Siddiqi
Dear FACS member

regrettably it is time to ask you for money again.

As agreed at the AGM the rates for members of BCS and sister societies has been raised to £10 whilst for others it remains at £25. The EATCS fee remains at £6 whilst the cost of the Journal is increased to £33 for volume 4. Note however that volume 4 will be published in 6 issues; representing a 50% increase in size and hence the discount is even higher than 70%+ of earlier years.

Members in the UK will be aware that the BCS is in somewhat of a financial predicament. Despite the many rumours there has been no clear statement of the position from central BCS.

Although being an integral part of BCS, the FACS group have never been a drain on BCS resources; we have been self-sufficient and through the journal we actual contribute to BCS funds. We are therefore anxious to maintain our activities and the committee are seeking ways by which we can ensure that we are not adversely affected by the financial (ill-)health of the BCS.

When we have something sorted out we shall publish details of the measures we propose to take. In the meantime you can be assured of our best endeavours to keep FACS on an even keel.

Dr D J Cooke, Chairman

Please reply to: John Cooke, Department of Computer Studies, Loughborough University of Technology, Loughborough, Leics LE11 3TU, UK. tel: +44 (0)509 222676, email: D.J.Cooke@lut.ac.uk
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The sixth annual Z User Meeting
Monday and Tuesday
16th and 17th December 1991

University of York

This year the Z User Meeting will be held at the University of York - an attractive and compact campus located two miles from the city centre and most hotels. A lecture theatre which can accommodate 150 people, an exhibition area and a reception area for teas and coffees have been reserved in Vanbrugh College. Bedrooms, lunches and a conference dinner on Monday night have been arranged in Alcuin College (see enclosed map).

For details and bookings telephone York (0904) 432782
Email: sue@uk.ac.york.minster
**Z user meeting**

Programme committee:

Jonathan Bowen, Oxford University PRG  
David Duce, Rutherford Appleton Laboratories  
Tim Hoverd, Logica Cambridge  
Darrell Ince, The Open University  
Peter Lupton, IBM Hursley  
John McDermid, University of York  
Silvio Meira, University of Pernambuco, Brazil  
John Nicholls, Oxford University PRG (Chair)  
Gordon Rose, University of Queensland  
Chris Sennett, DRA Malvern  
Jim Woodcock, Oxford University PRG  
John Wordsworth, IBM Hursley

The meeting will include presentations on a variety of topics of general interest to Z users, covering the theory and application of Z. Refereed papers include:

- The use of Z: report of a survey  
- Extending the useful application domain for formal methods  
- Application domains for formal methods  
- Recursive free types in Z  
- On free type definitions  
- Enhancing the structure of Z specifications  
- Structured analysis - A draft method for writing Z specifications  
- Report on the ZOOM Workshop  
- A logic for Z  
- ZedB: A proof tool for Z built on B  
- Z and Hoare logics  
- A method for the specification of relational database applications  
- Z - - an executable subset of Z

There will also be invited speakers, tool demonstrations and posters.

---

**Monday 16th December**

- Registration: from 9.00 a.m.  
- Opening session: 9.30 a.m.  
- Close: 5.30 p.m.

**Dinner in Alcuin College, York**

7.00 p.m. for 7.30 p.m.

**Tuesday 17th December**

- First session: 9.00 a.m.  
- Close: 4.00 p.m.

---

Proceedings of the Fifth Annual Z User Meeting have been published by Springer-Verlag in their *Workshops in Computing* series (ISBN 3-540-19672-2). We also expect to publish the papers from this year's meeting — details to follow.
Please reserve a place at the Z User Meeting on 16th and 17th December 1991 as follows:

Registration fee: £130

(This covers admission to all sessions, refreshments, two lunches and a special dinner on Monday, together with preprints of papers.)

Special dietary requirements: ________

Accommodation at the University of York:
- One night bed & breakfast in an en-suite bedroom: £20  
  (Monday 16 December 1991)
- Two nights bed & breakfast in an en-suite bedroom: £40  
  (Sunday 15 & Monday 16 December)
- One night bed & breakfast with shared use of bathroom: £15  
  (Monday 16th December 1991)
- Two nights bed & breakfast with shared use of bathroom: £30  
  (Sunday 15 & Monday 16 December 1991)

There are a limited number of en-suite bedrooms on campus and they will be allocated on a ‘first come first served’ basis.

I enclose a cheque payable to the University of York for ________

PLEASE NOTE: Remittance MUST accompany the Registration Form

Details for registration (in block capitals please):

Full name (and title): __________________________
Organisation: _________________________________
Address: ______________________________________
Postcode: ____________________________________

Telephone: ____________________________
Fax: ____________________________
Email: ____________________________

Please complete a separate form for each participant and return, with your cheque, as soon as possible (not later than 29th November 1991) to:

Mrs Sue Helliwell  
Department of Computer Science  
University of York  
YORK YO1 5DD  

Tel: York (0904) 432782  
Fax: York (0904) 432767  
Email: SUE@UK.AC.YORK.MINSTER
The Christmas Meeting this year will provide a gentle introduction to Domain Theory for non-specialists. All of us who have written programs in which we have defined recursive procedures or functions, recursive data types or recursive processes have no doubt wondered what such definitions really mean. Probably we have an informal operational model in our minds if we try to answer such a question. Domain theory provides a mathematical (denotational) description of the meanings of programs; it allows us to solve recursive equations involving sets, functions and processes.

These days there is much emphasis on formality in order to meet the challenges of developing reliable and safety critical software. We must be able to rely on the mechanisms which translate our high-level language programs into machine code and thus it is essential that those programs have well-defined, unambiguous meanings and that provably correct translators and interpreters can be constructed.

On the first day a general introduction to the whole field will be given, and then presentations will focus on sequential languages. On the second day issues related to concurrency and nondeterminism will be addressed.

This is an opportunity to hear the central ideas of the field expounded by some of the foremost researchers in the field active in Britain.

Speakers include:
Steve Schneider, PRG Oxford
Steve Vickers, Samson Abramsky, Mike Smyth, Imperial College
Andy Pitts, Cambridge
Geoff Barrett, Inmos

Date and time:
19th, 20th December 1991
Registration at 10.00am on 19th December; meeting ends at 4.00pm on 20th December.

Place:
City University (College Building, St John Street, EC1)

Fees:
Standard Rate £65, including VAT
Reduced Rate for FACS members £50, including VAT
(includes lunch, and morning and afternoon refreshments)

Accommodation:
Delegates are asked to make their own arrangements.

Organisation:
David Till and Maxine Wortley, Department of Computer Science, City University, 071-253 4399 ext 3701
I would like to attend the BCS-FACS Christmas Meeting on Domain Theory 19, 20th December 1991

I enclose a cheque made payable to BCS-FACS in payment of the fee for attendance

I am a member of the BCS-FACS group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reduced Rate</th>
<th>Standard Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>£50</td>
<td>£65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I would like vegetarian meals  

☐ Yes  ☐ No

Name: __________________________

Organisation: ___________________

Address: ____________________________________________

______________________________

Signed: __________________________

For FACS use only:

Receipt/Invoice Number: DT91-12-19-__ __ __

Date Payment Received __ __ / __ / __  

Amount £ __ __

BCS VAT Reg. No. 440349076
You should answer as many questions as you can.

**QUESTION 1**

The purpose of ACME’s strategy is to improve the agility of Britain’s manufacturers by a programme of innovative investigation and research management in advanced manufacturing at higher-education institutes, integrated with a programme of enervating exercises and dietary management at lower-education institutes. The research portfolio over the last few years has fallen squarely in the early synthetic stages of research. We expect that it will soon become more rounded (*1) as it moves into the stages of dialysis and quantified comparison of performance. [A COD Research Strategy, Neaubrene, 1987]

Discuss whether this shift of emphasis in the funding programme is a real phenomenon, or an artefact of the use of the numerically controlled machine tool metaphor as a method of discursion. You should refer to the problems of tape proving in at least two of your answers.

50 marks 30 mins

**QUESTION 2**

There are many stages to research. Compare and contrast the virtues of Sabin’s Sharp Questions (*2) with Ludhyar’s Lunation Phases (*3) for distinguishing between the stages of scientific research.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SABIN</th>
<th>LUDHYAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Achievement Phase</strong></td>
<td><strong>Crescent Phase</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>singular</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Wouldn’t it be nice if...</td>
<td>Intrusive abortion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How can we do it?</td>
<td>Delight in self expression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Can this method do it?</td>
<td>Curiosity about acting on the world.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Try this method out.</td>
<td>Delight in achievement of effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Phase</strong></td>
<td><strong>Decrescent Phase</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plural</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Which of several is the best?</td>
<td>Curious observation of others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. What do the differences depend on?</td>
<td>Delight in manipulating others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Try them all out.</td>
<td>What does it all mean?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

50 marks 30 mins

**QUESTION 3**

In the table of Question 2 above Sabin asserts that only stages 5-8 are suitable for academic research funding, whereas Ludhyar claims that only the gibbous phase (i.e. stages 3-6) is suitable. Semira makes the general claim that eightfold divisions are unsuited to the dialectics of activities whose main product is information, which should be analysed in terms of the ten Sephirothic nodes, with research funding from nodes 3 to 8. Moorcock and Witherspoon argue for a twelvefold genethliacal analysis in terms of the equinoctial and sidereal zodiacs, with research funding between Aquarius and Virgo. McCullough favours analysis of the research cycle in terms of the twenty-two (twenty-one) major arcana, using Crowley’s rationalisation of the Florentine ordering, and bottom up research funding.

* The footnotes are to help you with your answers. You will find them at the bottom of the next page.
Discuss. You may draw examples from the portfolios of the biological, biochemical, mythological, and engineering directorates.

60 marks 45 mins

QUESTION 4

What is wrong with British manufacturing industry today is that it does not know how to predict the effect of a variation in operating circumstances upon the profitability of its methods, and consequently does not know when to change from one method to another. [The Seven Stages of Industrial Exploitation, Neaubrene, 1987]

A) - Discuss this in the context of the plight of UK manufacturing industry in the 1980s, illustrating with examples of industrial failure resulting from ignorance of the effects of a variation in operating circumstances. You should refer to Thatcher’s Principle (*4) in your answer.

45 marks 20 mins

B) - Select one example of a variation in operating circumstances. Devise a research project and industrial exploitation route designed to discover the effects of this variation in operating circumstances and to communicate this to the ignorant industrialists. Your answer must be diplomatic and less than six centimetres in length (*5). You must not use the terms strategy or basic research.

900 marks 13 hours (*8)

QUESTION 5

Once the appropriate distinguishing criteria have been isolated, it is possible to map the problem space showing the regions of optimum applicability of each method of solution (*6). This is the most scientific stage of research, but because it is rather boring it should ideally be done by someone else (other than the inventors of the solutions). [The Seven Separate Stages of Scientific Search, Neaubrene, 1987]

A) - Suppose the research problem is devising an academic research strategy relevant to the needs of manufacturing industry. Using the above technique draw a map of the problem space with respect to the following methods of devising a strategy, taking care that the method definitions you use are constructed to the same standards. Use the coloured crayons provided.

1. Sabin’s Sharp Questions. 12 marks
2. Ludhyar’s Lunation Cycle. 15 marks
3. Semira’s Sephirothic Nodes. 19 marks
4. The Alvey Surprise. 7 marks
5. Egginton’s Method. 0 marks
6. Any other method. 55 marks

5 mins

B) - Explain why this stage of devising a research strategy is the most scientific. Why do you suppose Neaubrene thinks it is boring? (*7)

40 marks 5 mins

* The footnotes are optional. You may consult them if you wish. They may be found at the bottom of the next page. They may be found at the top of the next page. They may have been removed in order to prevent them distracting you from the exam questions. Or they might have got torn off by accident. This is a typical industrial problem (and this is an ACME exam paper).
*1 Square or round. The specific shape is not important. What Dr Neaubrene is getting at here is not so much the importance of specific geometric shape as the importance of specificity about geometric shape (*6). In other words, the lexical invariance is more important than the abductive inference - at least as far as manufacturing industry is concerned.

*2 Sabin's Sharp Questions were devised as a method for structuring conjecture in domains characterised by only minor discontinuities in the differentials of insignificance, with consequent difficulty in the perception of the major internal elements of semantic articulation, e.g., the WP syndrome (see page 4).

*3 Ludhyar's Lunation Phases derived originally from an astrological study of the behaviour of lunatics, but have been found since to apply usefully to the WP syndrome, as well as many other diverse areas of confusion. They are less pointed than Sabin's Sharp Questions, but are of much wider applicability, e.g., Moore's use of them to analyse the development of the policies of the two major political parties before the 1987 election [Old Moore's Almanack, Moore, 1986].

*4 There is no alternative (see Kinnock).

*5 You may, however, use tiny handwriting, lots of footnotes, or your imagination. Footnotes must be on a separate sheet for the usual reasons. This sort of thing is often requested by research funding agencies. The important questions are the scientific merit, timeliness, and industrial relevance of the case as submitted to the grant funding agency proposal submission panel reference subcommittee members (in octuplicate), and since this would otherwise naturally be presented in the form of a document whose structure reflected that of the ideas, this would tend to produce an unfair advantage in favour of that subset of the group of proposers competing for the particular tranche of funding capability in question who were good at writing documents, which of course has no necessary causal connection with the scientific merit or industrial relevance of their proposals, and so might improperly arouse the approbation of those members of the subcommittee who had actually read it, whereas, on the other hand, those who relied on squinting surreptitiously at the copy of the committee member seated beside them, while pretending that they were merely jogging their memory on points of detail, might be misled by the tendency of ponderous verbosificativitation to scare those who were frequently punished for stupidity at school (as manifested by the inability to construe complex prose passages full of monstrous words, subordinate death traps, and intricately negated semantic locks) into thinking that it might not be advisable not to deny that it was not indeed a most seriously clever proposal. I blame Cartesian Dualism. Of course one cannot make judgments of grant requests with the precision that is possible in engineering matters (at least in theory), but it is obviously silly to try to study one variable, such as merit, while others, such as length, gravity, and semantic drift, are uncontrolled.

*6 This is an example of the use of geometric modelling to express relations between features of ideas. Clearly this technique could be extended to permit anything whatsoever to be expressed within the formalism of a suitably enhanced geometric modeller. That is why geometric modelling is of such central importance in ACME's engineering research programme - because people think in terms of pictures. Our research shows that 100% of engineers answer affirmatively to the question "do you think in terms of pictures?". Only 66.6% of a randomly drawn control group of non-engineers answered affirmatively. The other 33.3% did not understand the question. Further questioning of this 33.3% elicited the remark that he found thinking very difficult anyway. These figures add up to nearly 100% and therefore pass the round pi test of statistical significance at the Thatcher level of confidence (*4). QED.

*7 This is a trick question.

*8 You will be pleased to know that your answer to this question was excellent, and the only reason we are giving you zero marks is that we had already allocated all the marks to the previous questions, due to a computer error. This is a purely temporary situation and will probably not affect next year's candidates.
ZED: A Z Notation Editor and Syntax Analyser

Graham, R. BUCKBERRY.
Sheffield City Polytechnic, Department of Computing and Management Science.

The Z notation for specifying and designing software has evolved steadily over the last decade, and it is now possible to identify a standard set of notations which captures the essential features of producing formal specifications in Z.

The fact that such a standard notation now exists allows for the possibility of computer assistance in writing and manipulation of Z specifications. At present, research into such computer based tools is in its infancy, with early tools providing little more than word-processing facilities. However, research is now concentrating upon building tools such as syntax checkers, structured editors, type checkers and prototyping systems, which will be vital if Z is ever to be accepted as a specification tool in an industrial environment. At present, research into a prototyping tool for Z specifications is taking place at Sheffield, using an extended LISP syntax into which the specification to be prototyped must first be manually converted. This paper describes the development of ZED, a front-end tool for such a system, involving a PC-based graphical structured editor for entering Z specifications directly into the computer, and an in-built syntactic analyser based on the standard Z notation devised by J.M. Spivey at the Oxford University Programming Research Group.

About the ZED System

ZED is a graphical, full screen PC-based editor package and built-in syntax analyser for writing formal specifications in the Z Notation. The aim is to allow specifiers to type Z specifications directly at the computer and to have the specifications checked for correctness in the usage of the notation automatically. ZED is a partially syntax-based editor in that it
understands the format of the Z notation and provides utilities for automatically manipulating and formatting vertical schema box graphics directly on the editor screen. Many of the special mathematical notation characters are built into the editor and can be accessed through combinations of the function keys, and the ALT, SHIFT and CONTROL keys of the PC keyboard.

When invoked, the built-in syntax analyser identifies any Z Notation syntax errors in the file currently being edited and highlights their location in the file. The syntax analyser is designed to conform to the standard Z notation syntax devised by J.M Spivey, and provides appropriate error messages if errors are discovered. In this way, the specifier can check the specification for correct usage of the notation whilst in the editor and hence produce a correct specification very easily.

ZED allows specification files created to be saved to the PC hard disk or diskette, and reloaded at a later stage for further modification. ZED also contains a utility to convert specification files into IBM graphics files which can then be printed on an IBM graphics-compatible printer in order to produce hard-copy.

It is J.M. Spivey's work which forms the heart of the system developed for this project. Both the graphical editor and syntax analyser of the system are based on Spivey's symbol and syntax definition for the Z notation found in his book "The Z Notation: A Reference Manual".

**The Importance of Computer-Based Formal Methods Tools**

Coming from an industrial software background, it is my experience that the level of computer-based tool support for a particular software methodology is the key to its success or failure within the software industry. One of the main reasons why formal methods have not yet taken off in industry, may be the current lack of available tools to support these methods and their particular way of working. In industry, we are currently seeing several tools beginning to get a hold on the market, which support specific software specification and design methodologies. Suppliers are now beginning to realise that in order for a methodology to succeed it must be adequately supported by computer based tools.
In terms of specification and Z, the reasons behind the importance of tools can be identified by examining the problems encountered with applying the formal specification techniques themselves. Communication problems arise since the specifiers generally need to use the language of mathematics, whilst the developer and the customer use terminology relating to the system being developed. Within the specification process it is vital that there is a common understanding between specifier and customer/designer if costly errors in specification are to be avoided. Regardless of whether the specification is produced in Z and is proved to be correct, if it cannot be understood by the customer, how can the customer tell if the specification is what is wanted? This requires that the customer either becomes an expert in Z, or some sort of prototype is produced from the specification which exhibits the characteristics of the system, whilst omitting the detailed operation.

The prototype is now seen as an important step in many new software methodologies and much research is currently underway into prototyping environments for several methodologies, including an environment for prototyping Z specifications, developed at Sheffield City polytechnic.

However, the current system requires that the Z specification to be prototyped be converted into an extended LISP syntax which can then be 'executed' by the prototyping system. At present this conversion must be done manually. Also, the prototyping system does not check the syntax or semantics of the Z specification represented by the extended LISP. This allows for the possibility that the manual conversion process may introduce errors or ambiguities which are not present in the original Z specification, and therefore weakens the use of formalism in the system. These problems are attributable to the fact that:-

a) The prototyping system does not support direct entry of the Z notation specification into the system, and

b) Much of the possibility for checking the formal specification is lost once it has been converted into the extended LISP syntax.

In order to be able mitigate these problems, this suggests that the specifier needs an intelligent editor tool with which to enter the specification directly into the computer. Clearly,
the tool must also have the capability to check the syntax and semantics of the formal specification before producing the extended LISP syntax required by the prototyping system.

In terms of Z, such an editor must allow the specifier full access to the notation (which is not normally found on general computer systems), and have sufficient intelligence and flexibility built in, in order to help the specifier to construct various kinds of schemas, move schemas around, allow natural language description to be interspersed with the formal notation, and guide the specifier when errors are made in input. The aim is to provide more than just a word processor, but a tool which understands the Z notation and can aid and guide the specifier in producing the specification directly on computer.

Moreover, with the advent of the Integrated Programming Support Environment (IPSE), many packages now provide a suite of tools each tailored to a particular part of the development methodology supported. If formal methods are to be successful, then tools must be available to support all the phases of the method. To this end, the ideal IPSE for formal methods might involve:

i) A graphical, screen based, intelligent editor supporting the particular formal specification notation, ie Z. (Including a graphics plotter/printer program to produce hardcopy of specifications)

ii) A notation syntax analyser and semantic type checker. ie, is the specification itself correct?

iii) A prototype harness which can take in the formal specification directly and reproduce the operation of the specification for the purposes of demonstration to the customer.

iv) A code generator to produce a program (as far as possible) directly from its specification, in the target language with the minimum of programmer assistance.

v) A prover tool, which aids the developer in proving that the program produced is correct with respect to the specification. This might involve a "test harness" idea in which a particular module has defined pre and post conditions extracted from the specification which
must be proven, or may involve support for a more rigorous mathematical approach, e.g., proof by induction.

In order to research the feasibility of such a tool the ZED system was conceived as the first stage of an IPSE to support software systems development using the Z Formal Notation.

The ZED System Concept

The aim of the ZED concept was to implement a tool to achieve the first two stages of the formal methods IPSE proposed in the previous section, based on the Z formal Notation. That is, a graphical, screen-based intelligent editor supporting the Z notation, and an in-built syntax and simple semantic analyser for the Z notation. The aim being to provide the specifier with a tool with which to produce and manipulate formal specifications directly on the computer and to identify errors in the use of the notation.

The Z notation editor and syntax analyser were developed separately and then integrated to form the final system as follows:

The ZED Editor Philosophy

The ZED system editor is not fully syntax-based. Rather, the approach has been to develop an editor which has knowledge of the Z notation, in terms of layout and schema definitions, and which implements some parts of the Z syntax (i.e., schema naming rules) but which does not syntax check the whole specification as the edit progresses.

The reason for not implementing a fully syntax based editor is that the Z notation grammar is inherently ambiguous and solving the ambiguity within the editor would complicate the editor algorithm. It was therefore decided to implement a separate high-level syntax checker function, which can be invoked at any time from the editor environment. This approach has the advantage that it can resolve the problems associated with syntax ambiguity, whilst retaining the most useful property of a syntax based editor; that of highlighting syntax editors whilst within the editor environment itself. This obviates the need for a separate syntax check outside the editor.
ZED: A Z Notation Editor and Syntax Analyser

ZED also implements a minimal list of high level Z language-supporting functions. These functions are different from the normal low-level editor functions such as line delete, goto line, search, etc, as they are state related according to the current actions being undertaken by the user. The language-supporting functions implemented provide enough flexibility to significantly aid in the production of Z notation specifications, without overwhelming the user with numerous manipulative commands, which is often a failure of many common editors. Rather, the emphasis has been to develop macro operations which lead the user through the necessary stages of an operation, allowing the user to make choices at appropriate points.

The language-supporting functions built into ZED are:-

i) Open a schema graphic for either a schema box, generic definition or axiomatic definition. This function prompts for a schema name and formal parameter names where appropriate, enforces Z syntax rules on schema names, and draws the appropriate schema box graphic on the screen at the current cursor position.

ii) Open a shorthand Schema definition prompting for a schema name and enforcing Z syntax rules for schema naming.

iii) Change a Schema Header definition, allowing the user to either rename a schema and/or change the formal parameter definition.

iv) Full Syntax and limited Semantic checking of the current state of the specification. This function can be called from the editor at any time to syntax check the specification. Following the syntax check the user is returned directly to the editor environment to continue editing or to correct any errors highlighted.

The ZED System Syntax Analyser

The ZED system syntax analyser is based on an extended grammar, derived from J.M. Spivey's original Z Notation grammar by using mathematical transformations in order to produce a grammar suitable for processing by a top-down recursive descent parser. The original grammar has also been extended to allow for several additions to the notation including use of text strings within predicates, schema renaming and other commonly used extensions.
The syntax analyser also includes a simple semantic analyser which implements variable scoping rules and usage rules but which does not currently include a full type checker. The mechanism used allows for schema inclusion, and automatically brings the variables of an included schema into scope of the including schema.

Opportunities for Further Work

On a purely implementation basis, since ZED has been written to be as portable as possible, the opportunity exists to implement the system on a different hardware base, possibly a workstation environment. The impetus behind this might be to make use of the windowing and enhanced graphics capabilities found on these machines, and would also provide a convenient interface into the existing LISP prototyping system at Sheffield. However, there are also opportunities to expand the system on the existing PC hardware base.

Extending the ZED Graphical Editor

ZED currently implements a minimal set of editor functions, and as the system is used, users will require more high-level functions to be made available.

The aim of the ZED editor has always been to facilitate language-based features which help the user write Z specifications in particular, rather than implement the sort of general low-level editor functions found in most text editors. Although a number of these low-level 'cut and paste' functions are required, these have been kept to a minimum, and future work should concentrate mainly on editor functions that support the Z notation itself.

In particular, the possibility exists for building specific Z schema calculus functions into the editor. These schema calculus functions might include automatic schema expansion in order to observe the result of a particular schema inclusion, or the result of 'AND'ing and 'OR'ing a number of schemas together to produce a composite schema. Also automatic schema composition could be implemented as this is a useful Z utility allowing us to make new specifications out of old ones.

There are a number of other useful schema calculus functions which could be implemented, including schema renaming (ie, automatically renaming the stated variables of a schema to produce another schema) and schema hiding (removing stated variables from
the schema declaration in order to produce a new schema). These constructor functions as we shall call them, are useful since, not only do they cut down on the number of keystrokes required to produce a specification, but are also a valuable learning aid to writing Z specifications themselves.

**Extending the ZED Syntax Analyser**

Although the implemented syntax analyser includes a full syntax check of the Z notation grammar, it performs only a limited semantic check of the Z specification. The implementation of a type checker would be the next phase in the development of the syntax analyser, although it is recognised that this would be far from a trivial task. However, even if this were to be implemented, there is of course, no guarantee that a specification free from both syntactic and type errors can be implemented, and still less guarantee that it specifies what the customer actually wants. It is in this area that prototyping is of importance. The syntax analyser in ZED could therefore be expanded in order to produce the extended LISP syntax required by the Sheffield prototyping system directly from the specification, which could then be 'run' directly. More importantly, this method would also solve a problem with the current LISP system in that the system cannot enforce the type rules associated with the original Z specification. This problem is mitigated if the Z specification itself is first semantically checked before conversion, and the prototyping system need then only be concerned with animation, not highlighting semantic errors in the original specification.

**Extending the System Concept**

Although ZED is a comprehensive, independent tool, as explained in the introduction it has always been seen in a larger role as the front-end to an IPSE environment geared towards software development based upon formal methods. However, any subsequent development in this direction will probably require the system to be ported to a more powerful workstation environment. In the long term, the system could be made to produce input to a prototyping environment directly from the Z specification, with no user involvement. The user would then be able to sit at the workstation, type in the Z specification, run the syntax and
semantic checker tools and download the resulting specification directly into a prototyping environment. The user would then be able to run the prototype from the workstation environment and observe the results directly.

Although this sounds highly complex, it is believed that this sort of IPSE environment for formal methods is the only way in which formal methods will be seen as an acceptable approach to developing industrial software applications. Until such tools are available, Z will remain inaccessible to industrial users and hence a move to develop such tools must be of great importance.

**Overall Conclusion**

The ZED system described has been conceived, designed, implemented and tested, and has proved to be a useful and practical aid in writing formal specifications using the Z notation, directly at the computer.

It is believed that by building language-supporting functions into the ZED system, the problems associated with producing formal specification using the Z notation on a computer have been mitigated. The editor functions offered improve the productivity of the specifier by reducing the number of keystrokes required, and more importantly, help the specifier to concentrate on the specification itself, rather than the complex layout dictated by the Z notation.

The built-in syntax checker is an important component, not only for verifying the correctness of the use of the Z notation in a specification, but also in providing a standard. The fact that several published specifications tried out on the system contained syntax errors according to Spivey's definition, is somewhat worrying. This highlights the fact that at present, there seems to be no accepted standard for the Z notation and in several cases, certain parts of the notation seem to be used in different ways by different authors. It is vital that a common standard for the Z notation is established otherwise the formalism that the notation embodies will be weakened. Also, any computer-based tool implementing the notation must obviously have a standard available on which to base an implementation.
In purely practical terms, the fact that ZED allows Z specifications to be entered directly into the computer system, and stored on the machine for later retrieval or modification, makes the use of the notation far more accessible to would-be specifiers. People may well have avoided using the notation in the past simply because of the laborious problem of having to draw out all the unfamiliar mathematical characters and schema boxes on paper. Unfortunately, the specification then has to be stored on paper and is likely to get lost or damaged.

Also, like any development, the development of a specification is a creative, iterative process. If you want to modify a paper specification, the nature of the Z notation invariably means writing out the whole thing again even though you probably only wanted to change a small part. The fact that ZED enables specifications to be stored on disk and retrieved for modification is in itself, a powerful aid to the specifier.

The industrial debate for and against formal methods is set to continue for some time to come, and is unlikely to be resolved in their favour until computer-based tools are available to support them, and also to provide a common standard for notation usage.

Many software professionals now accept that formal methods have the capability to reduce system costs by removing errors in specification and thus preventing expensive errors in systems design and implementation.

The ability to prototype formal specifications also opens up opportunities to improve communication with the customer and assess the feasibility of a software project at an early stage in its development.

However, without the tools to support them, formal methods will remain an academic plaything, and we will have lost a great opportunity to improve the way in which software systems are developed.

The ZED system developed as described herein has shown that it is possible to develop a useful, practical, computer-based tool which is expressly designed to aid the specifier in producing Z notation formal specifications. It has also proved an important source of research into the problems of designing and implementing formal methods tools, and I
believe it opens up opportunities for the development of integrated, computer-based tools expressly geared towards industrial software development using formal methods.

Graham R. Buckberry B.Eng, AMIEE is a Software Design Technologist currently working for GEC Plessey Telecommunications Limited, and is a part-time student at Sheffield City Polytechnic.
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Date: December 3-5
Title: The Fourth International Workshop on Petri Nets and Performance Models
Acronym: FNP'91
Location: Melbourne, Australia.
Sponsor: Telecom Australia.
Contact: Jonathan Billington, Telecom Australia Research Laboratories, PO BOX 249, Clayton, Vic., 3168, Australia. Tel: +61-3-5416416, Fax: +61-3-5442362.
Email: j.billington@tril.com.au

Date: December 4-6
Title: Software for Critical Systems
Location: Fairmont Hotel, New Orleans, La, USA.
Acronym: SIGSOFT
Sponsor: SIGSOFT.
Contact: Mark Moriconi, SRI International, 333 Ravenswood Ave., Menlo Park, CA 94025; phone: (415) 859-5924.
Email: Moriconi@cai.sri.com

Date: December 4-6
Title: Software for Critical Systems
Location: Miami Beach, Southern Florida, USA.
Acronym: SIGARCH, SIGMOD, IEEE, CS, Florida International University.
Contact: Amit Sheth, Bellcore, 11-210, 444 Hoos Ave., Piscataway, NJ 08854; phone: (908) 699-3300; fax: (908) 699-9011.
Email: Amit@ctt.bellcore.com

Date: December 11-13
Title: First International Workshop on Deontic Logic in Computer Science
Location: Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
Acronym: DEON '91
Sponsor: DEON '91.
Contact: Dr. R.J. Wieringa, DEON '91, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Vrije Universiteit, De Boelelaan 1081A, 1081HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Date: December 17-19
Title: Eleventh Conference on Foundations of Software Technology and Theoretical Computer Science
Location: India International Centre, New Delhi, India.
Contact: H. Saran (ITT Delhi), FST&CS 11, Dept. of Computer Science Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208 016, India; phone: (0512) 24518/24151, Telex: 322-296, 322-392.
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Date: January 6-10
Title: Formal Techniques in Real-time and Fault-tolerant Systems
Location: University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
Contact: Prof. Dr. Ir. Jan Vryzioni, Real-time Systems Group, Department of Informatics, University of Nijmegen, Toenmoolveld, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands; phone: +31-60-65 6075, Fax: +31-60-55 34 50, Telex: 45228 wina nl.
Email: vryzioni@ca.kun.nl

Date: January 8-10
Title: The Fifth Refinement Workshop
Location: London.
Sponsor: BCS, FACS.
Contact: Chairman: Professor Bernard Carre, Program Validation Limited, 26 Queen's Terrace, Southampton SO1 1BQ; phone: +44 (0) 703 330001.
Or: Local Organizer: Roger Shaw, Lloyd's Register, Lloyd's Register House, 29 Wellesley Rd, Croydon CR0 2AJ; phone: +44 (0) 81 861 6418.
Email: rcs@uk.btre.org.uk

Date: January 19-22
Title: 19th ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages
Acronym: POPL '92
Location: Albuquerque, N. Mex., USA.
Sponsor: ACM SIGACT, SIGPLAN.
Contact: Andrew Appel, Department of Computer Science, Princeton University, 35 Olden Street, Princeton, NJ 08540-0397; phone: (609) 258-6227.
Email: appel@princeton.edu

Date: January 27-31
Title: The Second International Symposium on Environments and Tools for Ada
Acronym: SETA2
Location: Washington DC, USA.
Contact: SETA2 C/o Prof. Galen E. Kaiser, Columbia University/Department of Comp. Sci., 500 West 120th Street, New York, NY 10027, USA.
Email: seta@columbia.edu

Date: January 28-31
Location: Herndon, Va, USA.
Acronym: SIGAda, SIGSOFT, IEEE TC.
Email: SIGAda, SIGSOFT, IEEE TC CL.

Date: February 4-6
Title: The Fourth International Symposium on Environments and Tools for Ada
Location: Washington DC, USA.
Acronym: SETA2.
Contact: Prof. Galen E. Kaiser, Columbia University/Department of Comp. Sci., 500 West 120th Street, New York, NY 10027, USA.
Email: seta@columbia.edu

Date: February 5-7
Title: Harnessing the Object Revolution: Workshop on Object-oriented Software Engineering Practice
Location: Denver, USA.
Contact: Dr. Pankaj Goyal, US WEST Advanced Technologies Inc., 4001 Discovery Drive, Boulder, CO 80303, USA; phone: (303) 541-6286, Fax: (303) 541-6300.
Email: pkpaj@uswest.com, or: ouws@uswest.com.

Date: February 13-15
Title: 9th Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science
Location: Paris, France.
Acronym: STACS '92.
Contact: Prof. Alain Finkel, ENS Cachan - STACS '92, 61, avenue du President Wilson, 94235 Cachan Cedex - France; phone: 33 1 47 40 22 74, fax: 33 1 47 40 20 74.
Email: finkel@ens-cachan.fr

Date: March 10-11
Title: The Fourth Int'l Conf. on Strategic Software Systems
Location: Huntsville, Ala., USA.
Co-Sponsors: IEEE Computer Soc. Huntsville Chapter, Univ. of Alabama in Huntsville.
Contact: Nathaniel Dean, Bell Communications Research, Morristown, NJ 07960, tel: (201) 829-5211.
Email: risc@bellcore.com
Or: Gregory E. Shannon, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, tel: (812) 855-7071.
Email: shannon@cs.indiana.edu
TARK IV,
Cambridge, MA 02139, USA, tel: (617) 621-6635, fax: (617) 621-6650.

Fourth Conference on Theoretical Aspects of Reasoning about Knowledge
March 22-25
Title: Computer Languages
Location: San Francisco, California, USA
Sponsor: IEEE Computer Society
Co-Sponsors: ACM SIGPLAN & IFIP Working Group 2.4
Contact: James R. Cordy, Dept. of Computing and Information Science, Queen's University, Kingston, Canada K7L 3N6; phone: (613) 545-6454.
Email: cordy@quantics.queensu.ca
Or: Maria R. Barbacci, Software Engineering Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA; phone: (412) 268-7704.
Email: barbacci@se.cmu.edu

Date: April 20 - 23
Title: Computer Languages
Location: San Francisco, California, USA
Sponsor: IEEE Computer Society
Co-Sponsors: ACM SIGPLAN & IFIP Working Group 2.4
Contact: James R. Cordy, Dept. of Computing and Information Science, Queen's University, Kingston, Canada K7L 3N6; phone: (613) 545-6454.
Email: cordy@quantics.queensu.ca
Or: Maria R. Barbacci, Software Engineering Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA; phone: (412) 268-7704.
Email: barbacci@se.cmu.edu

Date: May 4-6
Title: 24th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing 1992
Location: New Orleans, Louisiana, USA.
Sponsor: ACM
Co-Sponsors: IEEE Computer Society, SIAM, SIAM
Contact: James R. Cordy, Dept. of Computing and Information Science, Queen's University, Kingston, Canada K7L 3N6; phone: (613) 545-6454.
Email: cordy@quantics.queensu.ca
Or: Maria R. Barbacci, Software Engineering Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA; phone: (412) 268-7704.
Email: barbacci@se.cmu.edu

Date: May 27-29
Title: Assessment of Quality Software Development Tools
Location: New Orleans, Louisiana, USA.
Sponsor: Tulane University.
In Coop.: IEEE Computer Society TCESE
With Assist.: IBM Systems & Software Education
Contact: EzNah Hasani, IBM, 798/089, 6321 San Ignacio Avenue, San Jose, CA 95119, USA; Tel: (408) 201-5741.
Email: eznah@stlvm7.inus1.ibm.com
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Date: June 22 - 25
Title: 7th Annual IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science
Acronym: LICS.
Email: lics92@cis.upenn.edu

Date: June 24 - 26
Title: Thirteenth International Conference on Application and Theory of Petri Nets and Petri Nets Tutorial 22 - 23 June
Location: Sheffield, England.
Contact: Kurt Jensen, Computer Science Department, Aarhus University, Ny Munkegade, Bldg. 540, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark, tel: +45 86 12 71 88, fax: +45 86 13 57 25, telex: 64767 auasck dk.
Email: kjenson@dalmi.aau.dk
Or: Conference Services, Sheffield City Polytechnic, 36 Collegiate Crescent, Sheffield S10 2BP, England, tel: +44 742 533 576, fax: +44 742 532 579.

Date: June 29 - July 1
Title: Fourth Workshop on Computer-Aided Verification
Location: Montreal, Quebec, Canada.
Contact: G. v. Bochmann, Universite de Montreal, Department d'IRO, C.P. 6128, succ. A, Montreal, Quebec H3C 3J7, Canada.
Email: bochmann@iro.umontreal.ca

Date: June 29 - July 3
Title: Sixth European Conference on Object-Oriented Programming
Location: Aarhus, Denmark.
Acronym: ECOOP'92.
Contact: Ole Lehrmann Madsen, Comp. Sc Dept., Aarhus Univ., Ny Munkegade, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark. Tel: +45 86 12 71 88; Fax: +45 86 13 57 25.
Email: olm@dalmi.aau.dk

Date: June 29 - July 3
Title: 2nd International Conference on The Mathematics of Program Construction
Location: Oxford, UK.
Contact: Carroll Morgan & Jim Woodcock, Programming Research Group, 11 Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3QD, UK. tel: +44 865 273840.
Email: carroll@prg.ox.ac.uk
Or: jimw@prg.ox.ac.uk

Date: July 6 - 7
Title: Workshop on Fault-Tolerant Parallel and Distributed Systems
Location: Amherst, Mass, USA.
Contact: N.K. Bha, Dept. of Electrical Engineering, Princeton Univ., Princeton, NJ 08544; Tel: (609) 258-4745.
Email: jha@ee.princeton.edu

Date: July 7 - 10
Title: Fifth Intl Workshop on Computer-Aided Software Eng.
Acronym: CASE 92.
Location: Montreal.
Contact: Nazim Madhavji, School of Computer Science, McGill Univ., fax (514) 398 3993, case@softeng.cmc.mcgill.ca.
Email: john.jenkins@mcgill.ca

Date: July 8 - 10
Title: International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing
Acronym: FTCS 92.
Location: The Lafayette Hotel, Boston, MA, USA.
Sponsor: IEEE Computer Society, University of Massachusetts.
Contact: Prof. Bharat K. Pradhan, Conference Chairman, Electrical and Computer Engineering Dept., University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, Tel: (413) 545 0160, Fax: (413) 545 4611.
Email: pradhandcs.umass.edu

Date: July 8 - 10
Title: Third Scandinavian Workshop on Algorithm Theory
Acronym: SWAT'92.
Location: University of Helsinki, Finland.
Contact: Prof. Esko Ukkonen, Department of Computer Science, University of Helsinki, Tohtorin katu 5, SF-00100 Helsinki, Finland, tel: +358-0-7084172, fax: +358-0-7084441.
Email: swat@cs.helsinki.fi

Date: July 12 - 17
Title: 19th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming
Acronym: ICALP 92.
Location: Technische Universitat Wien, Austria.
Contact: Prof. Werner Kuich, Institut fur Algebra und Diskrete Mathematik, Technische Universitat Wien, Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8-10, A-1040 Wien, Tel.: +43 1 58801 5450.
Email: kuich@bua.uucp.

Date: July 20 - 24
Title: Symposium on Logical Foundations of Computer Science
Acronym: LFCS 92.
Location: Tver University, Tver, USSR.
Contact: Dr. I.Kh. Mustaev, LFCS '92, Tver University, 33 Zhelizobrava St., Tver 170013, USSR. Prof. W.V. Marek, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506 USA, fax: (606) 257 4078, tel: (606) 257 3496.
Email: marek@ms.uky.edu
Email: sys@node.las.msk.su

Date: July 27 - 29
Title: International Symposium on Symbolic Algebraic Computation
Acronym: ISSAC.
Location: Berkeley, California, USA.
Sponsor: SIGSAM.
Contact: Erich Kaltofen, Rensselaer Polytech. Inst., Dept. of Comp. Sci., Troy, NY 12180; Tel: 518 - 276-6907.
Email: kaltofen@cs.rpi.edu

Date: September 2 - 4
Title: Third International Conference on Algebraic and Logic Programming
Location: Pisa, Italy.
Contact: Hélène Kirchner, CRIN & INRIA-Lorraine, BP 239, Campus Scientifique, 3406, Vandoeuvre-lès Nancy Cedex, France.
Email: kirchner@loria.fr.
Or: Giorgio Levi, Dipartimento di Informatica, Università di Pisa, Corso Italia 40, 56125 Pisa, Italy.
Email: lev@ dipisa.unipi.it
Date: September 7 - 11
Title: 12th World Computer Congress, IFIP Congress 92: "From Research to Practice"
Location: Madrid, Spain.
Sponsor: International Federation for Information Processing (IFIP).
Local Organiser: Grupo Geyseo, IFIP'92, Mauricio Legendre 4, 8th Floor G, E-28046, Madrid, Spain. Fax: (+34-1) 3254936.
Email: ifip92@dlt.upm.es.

Paper Submission Details: Submit six copies of a paper not exceeding 4500 words by January 10, 1992 or three copies of a one page abstract for a poster presentation by April 15 to one of the following subcommittee chairs:

**Software Development and Maintenance:** A.N. Habermann, School of Computer Science, Carnegie-Mellon Univ., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15213-3890, USA, fax: (+1-412) 681-5793, e-mail: ask@cs.cmu.edu

**Algorithms and Efficient Data Structures:** J. van Leeuwen, Dept. of Computer Science, Univ. of Utrecht, PO Box 80089, Padualaan 14, NL-3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands, fax: (+31-30) 513791, e-mail: jan@cs.ruu.nl

**From Architectures to Chips:** C.L. Reine, Delft University of Technology, Meikleweg 4, NL-2628 CD Delft, The Netherlands, fax: (+31-15) 784898, e-mail: gjduve@et.tudelft.nl

**Informatics and Education:** P. Bollerslev, Center for Applied Informatics in Teacher Education, Ministry of Education, Frederiksholms Kanal 26, DK-1220 Copenhagen K, Denmark, fax: (+45-33) 925302

**The Vulnerability of the Information Society: Social, Legal, and Security Aspects:** K. Brunstein, Dept. of Computer Science, Univ. of Hamburg, Vogt-Koelln-Str.30, W-2000 Hamburg 54, Germany, fax: (+49-40) 4123-6122, e-mail: brunstein@informatik.uni-hamburg.de

**Expanding the Power of the Personal Computer:** F. Vogt, Univ. of Hamburg, Bodinstr.16, W-2000 Hamburg 50, Germany, fax: (+49-40) 4123-6530, e-mail: vogt@rz.informatik.uni-hamburg.de

**Enhancing the Intelligence In Information Systems:** G. Davis, Univ. of Minnesota, Dept. of Information and Decision Sciences, 271 19th Ave South, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, USA, fax: (+1-612) 626-1316, e-mail: gdavis@umnsem.bitnet

Date: September 13 - 25
Title: 5th International Conference on Putting Into Practice Methods and Tools for Information System Design
Location: Nantes, France.
Contact: Henri Habrias, UANA, IUT, 3 rue MI Joffre 44041 Nantes Cedex 01 (France); phone: (+33) 40 30 50 56; fax: (+33) 40 30 60 01.
Email: habrias@nuit.dnet.cirtip.fr.cnr.fr.

Paper Submission Details: Submit five copies of their papers (15 pages maximum, double-spaced) in English or French to Henri Habrias.

Date: October 7 - 9
Title: Third International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering
Acronym: ISSRE-92
Location: North Carolina, USA.
Contact: Miladen A. Vouk, Computer Science Department, Box 8206, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-8206, Tel: (919)-515-7860, Fax: (919)-515-5839.
Email: vouk@csc.ncsu.edu.

Date: November 9 - 13
Title: 1993 Joint International Conference and Symposium on Logic Programming
Location: Ramada Renaissance Hotel, Washington, D.C., USA.
Sponsor: The Association for Logic Programming.
Contact: Prof. Krzysztof R. Apt, Program Chair, CWI, Kruislaan 413, 1098 SJ Amsterdam, The Netherlands, fax: (+31-20) 5924159.
Email: apt@cwil.nl.